



Entered on Docket  
January 21, 2010

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mike K. Nakagawa".

Hon. Mike K. Nakagawa  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

Kristin A. Schuler-Hintz, Esq., Nevada SBN 7171  
Seth J. Adams, Esq., Nevada SBN 11034  
Christopher K. Lezak, Esq., SBN 11185  
**McCarthy & Holthus, LLP**  
811 South Sixth Street  
Las Vegas, NV 89101  
[NVBK@McCarthyHolthus.com](mailto:NVBK@McCarthyHolthus.com)  
Phone (702) 685-0329  
Fax (866) 339-5691

Attorney for: Secured Creditor, US Bank National Association as Trustee, its assignees and/or successors

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re:	) Case No.: 09-31959-MKN
	)
Don Juan Harrington,	) Chapter 7
Arlene Harrington,	)
	) DATE: 12/30/09
Debtors.	) TIME: 10:30 am
	)
	) <b>ORDER TERMINATING</b>
	) <b>AUTOMATIC STAY</b>
	)

The Motion for Relief From Automatic Stay came on regularly for hearing at the date and time set forth above before the United States Bankruptcy Court. Upon review of the Motion and supporting evidence, and good cause appearing, the Court rules as follows:

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the automatic stay  
2 provisions of 11 U.S.C. §362 be and are hereby terminated with respect to the interests of  
3 Movant in the real property commonly known as 3444 Canter Drive, North Las Vegas, NV  
4 89032.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Movant may proceed with post-foreclosure remedies,  
6 including any unlawful detainer action, in compliance with applicable law.

7  
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9  
10 Submitted by:

11  
12 /s/ Seth J. Adams

13 Seth J. Adams, Esq.  
14 811 South Sixth Street  
15 Las Vegas, NV 89101  
16 702-685-0329

17 Approved/Disapproved

18 No Appearance at Hearing; No additional Service required.

19 Yvette Weinstein  
20 6450 Spring Mountain Road #14  
21 Las Vegas, NV 89146  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

ALTERNATIVE METHOD re; RULE 9021:

In accordance with LR 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies as follows (check one):

☐ The court has waived the requirement of approval under LR 9021.

☒ This is a Chapter 7 or 13 case, and either with the motion, or at the hearing, I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below [list each party and whether the party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document]:

☐ This is a Chapter 9, 11, or 15 case, and I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below [list each party and whether the party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document]:

☒ I certify that I have served a copy of this order with the motion, and no parties appeared or filed written objections.

Counsel appearing: None

Unrepresented parties appearing: None

Trustee: No Appearance at Hearing; No additional Service required.

Submitted by:

/s/ Seth J. Adams.  
Seth J. Adams, Esq.

###